

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,704	03/09/2004	Michael Austin	S63.2-14067-US01	4218	
	7590 02/06/200 CTT & STEINKRAUS,	EXAM	EXAMINER		
SUITE 400, 6640 SHADY OAK ROAD EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55344			EDWARDS, LAURA ESTELLE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/06/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/797,704	AUSTIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura Edwards	1792	

	Laura Edwards	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 1/23/09 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.				
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appl for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	eriod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In ent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, which were the maining date of the final rejection. The properties of the control of the properties					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
 X he proposed amendment(s) field after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloto) They are not deemed to place the application in bet application in bet application. 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>17.19-29 and 36-38</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach-	ed.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/Laura Edwards/					
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792						
	,					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: While the proposed amendment does further structurally limit the claimed invitace with a blade is generally intriner examination time, search, and consideration would be required. Meletring of coating from a roller surface with a blade is generally known and conventional in the art. The proposed claim 22 would still appear to be within the purview of one skilled in the art such that a grant of patentability does not result. Furthermore, improper status identifiers are noted with respect to claims 17, 19, 20, and 21 as none are considered withdrawn as noted in the previous office action. These claims should have status identifiers indicating that such claims are previously presented.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because; of reasons set forth in the final office action (1/12/40/8). The proposed amendment would be insufficient to warrant a grant of patentability because metering of coating via use of a blade on a roller surface prior to application of coating material on a workpiece is deemed obvious or at least within the purview of one skilled in the art.